ERIC L. WESENBERG (State Bar No. 139696) 1 SAM O'ROURKE (State Bar No. 205233) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 2 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park CA 94025 3 (650) 614-7400 Telephone: (650) 614-7401 Facsimile: 4 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant 5 MICROSOFT CORPORATION 6 RECEIVED 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OCT 2 8 2002 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND . 9 10 OAKLAND DIVISION 11 Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES 12 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING, 13 IN PART, MICROSOFT'S MOTION Plaintiff, FOR A PARTIAL STAY 14 15 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Referdant's Counsel are directed to serve this 16 Washington corporation, rder upon all other parties in this actions. 17 18 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

> PROPOSED ORDER C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ)

'DOCSSV1:210454.2

26

27

28

Having considered Defendant Microsoft's Motion for Partial Stay, the supporting and opposing papers, the pleadings and papers on file with the Court, the evidence presented by counsel, oral argument by counsel, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Microsoft's motion is GRANTED, IN PART, AS FOLLOWS:

The parties have selected the following 12 claims for limited Markman claim construction and indefiniteness proceedings:

- U.S. Patent No. 6,185,683 claim 2
- U.S. Patent No. 6,253,193 claims 1, 11, 15, 19
- U.S. Patent No. 5,920,861 claim 58
- U.S. Patent No. 5,892,900 claim 155
- U.S. Patent No. 5,982,891 claim 1
- U.S. Patent No. 5,917,912 claims 8, 35
- U.S. Patent No. 6,157,721 claims 1, 34

The Court shall schedule the hearing on Microsoft's anticipated motion for partial summary judgment of indefiniteness (limited to all or some of these 12 claims) to coincide with the Markman hearing on these 12 claims.

All proceedings (including all discovery) unrelated to the claim construction and alleged indefiniteness of these 12 claims shall be stayed pending the Court's ruling on these issues.

The relatedness of discovery requests to the limited Markman and indefiniteness proceedings shall be construed broadly and both parties are ordered to make every effort to permit discovery, otherwise relevant and discoverable under the Civil Rules, that is colorably related to or likely to assist in developing issues affecting the claim construction and/or indefiniteness of the 12 claims selected by the parties. For example, at the hearing counsel represented that they would not object to discovery directed to the use, by either party, of claim terms selected to be construed at the limited Markman hearing, as well as technical documents mentioning those claim terms. However, the failure to object to such discovery shall not be construed as an admission of

the admissibility or relevance of that material, nor shall it be considered a waiver of the right to contest its admissibility for any purpose.

This partial stay is granted pursuant to the Court's broad powers of case management, including the power to limit discovery to relevant subject matter and to adjust discovery as appropriate to each phase of litigation as set forth by the Federal Circuit in *Vivid Technologies, Inc. v. American Science & Engineering, Inc.*, 200 F.3d 795, 803 (Fed. Cir. 1999), and pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(b), (c); 26(b); 42(b).

Dated: // -/ , 2002

The Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong

2 I am more than eighteen years old and not a party to this action. My place of employment and business address is 1000 Marsh Road, Menlo Park, California 94025. 3 On November 6, 2002, I served: 4 ORDER GRANTING, IN PART, MICROSOFT'S MOTION FOR A PARTIAL STAY 5 By transmitting a copy of the above-listed document(s) in PDF form via electronic mail Michael 6 H. Page at mhp@kvn.com, Steven H. Morrissett at steven.morrissett@finnegan.com, Stephen E. Taylor at staylor@tcolaw.com and James E. Geringer at james.geringer@klarquist.com and also by placing true and correct copies of the above 8 documents in an envelope addressed to: John W. Keker, Esq. Steven H. Morrissett, Esq. Michael H. Page, Esq. FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP Stanford Research Park 710 Sansome Street 11 San Francisco, California 94111 700 Hansen Way Tel. No. 415-391-5400 Palo Alto, California 94304-1016 Fax No. 415-397-7188 Tel. No. 650-849-6624 Email: mhp@kvn.com Fax No. 650-849-6666 13 Email: steven.morrissett@finnegan.com Attorneys for Plaintiff INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION 15 John D. Vandenberg, Esq. Stephen E. Taylor, Esq. James E. Geringer, Esq. 16 TAYLOR & CO. LAW OFFICES 1050 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 101 KLARQUIST, SPARKMAN, LLP 17 Alameda, CA 94501 One World Trade Center 121 S. W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600 Tel. No. 510-865-9401 18 Fax No. 510-865-9408 Portland, Oregon 97204 Tel. No: 503-226-7391 Email: staylor@tcolaw.com 19 Fax No: 503-228-9446 Attorneys for Plaintiff Email: james.geringer@klarquist.com INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES 20 CORPORATION Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant, MICROSOFT CORPORATION 21 and sealing the envelope, affixing adequate first-class postage and depositing it in the U.S. mail 22 at Menlo Park, California. 23. Executed on November 6, 2002 at Menlo Park, California. 24 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 25 26 ANNA FREDDIE 27

DECLARATION OF SERVICE VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

DOCSSV1:189299.1

28

1

DECLARATION OF SERVICE VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL — CASE NO. C $01-1640~{
m SBA}$ (MEJ)